

# Study Shows More Studies Would Be Needed to Study Future Results

**BETHESDA, MD** - A recent article published in the [New England Journal of Medicine](#) discovered that more studies would be needed to study future results. "This is truly remarkable," said medical expert Dr. Tom Hutch. "The fact that they can predict in the future - that these future researchers would need to conduct more studies - is [mind-blowing!](#)"



This study was unclear if the outcomes were [positive](#) or negative but highly stressed that more studies were needed. In fact many physicians are confused about what specifically the authors studied, but all agree with their outcome: More studies are needed.

The published article starts with a long, generic background about "illnesses" and quickly goes into [numbers](#) and data for 8 pages. "They clearly know what they are doing," a peer reviewer explained. "Just look at those number and statistics! Eight pages worth!" Some say the authors are just passing-the-buck but critics argue they haven't really looked at the 8 pages of numbers and statistical jargon.

Finally the paper ends with a lengthy discussion arguing for [future studies](#), implying the [authors](#) would be the best choices for the grant money. "Fabulous, this will certainly advance medical knowledge, at a later date in the future."